

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,981	06/27/2001	Roland Gerritsen van der Hoop	01722906	3783
26565	7590 12/11/2006		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			HUI, SAN MING R	
P.O. BOX 28 CHICAGO.	328 <sub>.</sub> IL 60690-2828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · ·			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 12/1,1/200	6 ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/892,981	VAN DER HOOP, ROLAND GERRITSEN			
omeo neden cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	San-ming Hui	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u>	eptember 2006				
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,9-11,13,20,22.23 and 74-76</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,9-11,13,20,22,23 and 74-76</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendments filed September 28, 2006 have been entered. Claims 1, 3, 9-11, 13, 20, 22-23, and 74-76 are pending.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendments filed September 28, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 9-11, 13, 20, 22-23, and 74-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaswan et al. (US Patent 5,639,743) in view of Carrara (US Patent 5,891,462) and Merck Index (11<sup>th</sup> ed., 1989, Monograph 5103).

Kaswan et al. teaches a method of administering androgen, such as methyltestosterone, and estrogen, such as estradiol, to treat vaginal gland atrophy in post-menopausal women to restore adequate amounts of exocrine gland fluid (See claims 1, 8, and 13, col. 4, line 50 to col. 5, line 5, col. 6, 63-66, and col. 7, lines 14-15). Kaswan et al. also teaches topical transdermal estradiol administration can minimize the first pass hepatic effect and that the recommended dosage administered as 0.3-1.25 mg per day (see col. 7, lines 56-60 and col. 8, lines 2-4). Kaswan et al. also teaches the dosage of oral methyltestosterone as 2-50mg per day (see col. 6, lines 63-66).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,981

Art Unit: 1617

Kaswan et al. does not expressly teach the specific dosage forms of methyltestosterone and estradiol. Kaswan et al. does not expressly teach the herein claimed ingredients of the estradiol gel such as polyacrylic acid, triethanolamine, ethanol and isopropyl myristate. Kaswan et al. does not expressly teach methyltestosterone and estradiol being administered in sequential or simultaneous manner.

Carrara teaches a gel composition containing estradiol and the herein claimed ingredients such as estradiol, triethanolamine, acrylic acid polymer, ethanol (See claim 5). Carrara also teaches such composition has enhanced penetration for the active such as estradiol (See col. 4, lines 31-36; also col. 3, line 51-64). Carrara also teaches that it is conventional in the art that in order to overcome the barrier of the stratum corneum and facilitate percutanoeous absorption, penetration enhancers are employed (See col. 2, lines 53-60).

Merck Index teaches isopropyl myristate is a penetration enhancer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the gel of Carrara and the herein claimed oral dosage forms of methyltestosterone to treat vaginal atrophy in menopausal women. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ methyltestosterone and estradiol in sequential or simultaneous manner.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the gel of Carrara and the herein claimed oral dosage forms of methyltestosterone to treat vaginal atrophy in menopausal women. Employing the estradiol gel of Carrara in the method of

Application/Control Number: 09/892,981

Art Unit: 1617

Kaswan et al. would have been reasonably expected to be effective since the estradiol gel of Carrara is effectively enhancing the penetration of estradiol when percutaneously administered. Incorporating isopropyl myristate, a well-known penetration enhancer, into Carrara's gel would be considered obvious as selection over the obvious alternative.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to administer methyltestosterone and estradiol in sequential or simultaneous manner. The optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 28, 2006 averring the cited prior arts' failure to suggest the instant invention have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As discussed above, the instant claims are directed to a method of treating a menopause disorder comprising the administration of transdermal estradiol and oral methyltestosterone. Kaswan's method can be used to treat vaginal gland atrophy (a menopause disorder) in post-menopausal women, see the abstract and claim 1 for example. Combining androgen, estrogen and prolactin as taught in Kaswan is known to be useful in treating such condition. Therefore, motivation to combine the cited prior arts' teachings is provided since Carrara and Merck Index teaches the employment of agents that aids the penetration of the active ingredients, which would be reasonably expected to enhance the effectiveness of and increase the bioavailability of estradiol.

Art Unit: 1617

Newly added claims 74-76 are no more than merely reciting the specific dosage of the actives and the ingredients. Since the cited prior arts teaches the similar dosages, optimization of the result effect parameter is therefore obvious as being within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,981

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

San-ming Húi ~ Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1617